

## II. LEGAL AUTHORITIES:

1. SCALES HAS DEMONSTRATED HIS "NON-JUDICIAL ACTS AND IJM MULTIPLE TIMES TO DENY PETITIONER A FAVORABLE DECISION ON THE MERITS AT TRIAL, SENTENCING, DIRECT APPEAL, PER PROCEEDINGS. BERGER V. U.S., 255 U.S. 22, 36 (1921); TUMEX V. OHIO, 273 U.S. 510, 523 (1927); RANKIN V. HOWARD, 633 F.2d 844, 847-849 (9TH CIR. 1980); STATE V. MOODY, 192 ARIZ. 505, 509, ¶123 (1998) (MOODY I); STATE V. TORRES, 208 ARIZ. 340, 344, ¶112 (2004) (TORRES II); STATE V. HICKS, 219 ARIZ. 328, 329-330, ¶110 (2009); ZARABIA V. BRADSHAW, 185 ARIZ. 1, 3 (1996); U.S. V. CRONIC, 466 U.S. 648, 654 (1988); CUYLER V. SULLIVAN, 446 U.S. 335, 350 (1980); BRIT V. NC., 404 U.S. 226, 277 (1971).

2. SCALES, FULLER, BERNAYS WORKED TOGETHER IN EGREGIOUS MISCONDUCT, WHERE MANIPULATION OF THE EVIDENCE TO FATALLY DISTORT THE JURY'S FACT-FINDING PROCESS, CONCEALING FROM THE JURY R. BURNETT WAS A COMPLETE PSYCHO - EXPLAINING WHY AND HOW A CRAZY PERSON ATTACKED AN INNOCENT PERSON DEFENDING HIMSELF AND HIS WIFE WITH A GUN. BY INTENTIONALLY CONCEALING R. BURNETT'S VA MENTAL HEALTH AND MEDICAL RECORDS, RESULTED IN A "ONE-SIDED PRESENTATION OF EVIDENCE" AND A REPEATED WILLINGNESS TO ABUSE THEIR AUTHORITY TO GET WHAT THEY WANT. MIKE V. RYAN, 711 F.3d 998, 1003-1013 (9TH CIR. 2013); KYLES V. WHITNEY, 514 U.S. 419, 437-438 (1995); BRADY V. MARYLAND, 373 U.S. 83, 87 (1963); DONNELLY V. DeCHRISTOFORO, 416 U.S. 637, 643 (1974); STATE V. HUGHES, 193 ARIZ. 72, 79 (1998).