

II. LEGAL AUTHORITIES:

1. PROSECUTOR FULLER KNEW R. BURNETT WAS CRAZY AND OUT-OF-CONTROL, AND HIS VA MENTAL HEALTH-MEDICAL RECORDS PROVE IT. THEREFORE, PROSECUTOR FULLER DID NOT LIKE THESE FACTS SINCE "ANY EVIDENCE THAT WOULD TEND TO CALL THE GOVERNMENT'S CASE INTO DOUBT IS FAVORABLE FOR BRADY PURPOSES." PROSECUTOR FULLER VIOLATED BRADY BY INTENTIONALLY CONCEALING R. BURNETT'S VA RECORDS, AS AN IMPROPER METHOD DESIGNED SOLELY TO OBTAIN A CONVICTION, TO AVOID AN ACQUITTAL IF THE VA RECORDS WERE REVEALED TO THE JURY. FATAL UNFAIR PREJUDICE IS PROVEN WHEN THE GOVERNMENT'S EVIDENTIARY SUPPRESSION UNDERMINES CONFIDENCE IN THE OUTCOME OF THE TRIAL. "MIKE V. RYAN, 711 F.3d 998, 1012-1019 (9TH CIR. 2013); BRADY V. MARYLAND, 373 U.S. 83, 87 (1963); KYLES V. WHITLEY, 514 U.S. 419, 434-439 (1995). PROSECUTOR FULLER WORKED CLOSELY, ILLEGALLY, UNCONSTITUTIONALLY WITH DEFENSE ATTORNEY M. BERNAYS TO DENY M. VODEN "A MEANINGFUL OPPORTUNITY TO PRESENT A COMPLETE DEFENSE." CRANE V. KENTUCKY, 476 U.S. 683, 690 (1986).

2. PROSECUTOR FULLER'S MISCONDUCT THAT PERMEATES THE PROCESS AND INTENTIONALLY DESTROYS THE ABILITY OF THE TRIBUNAL TO REACH A FAIR VERDICT MUST NECESSARILY BE REMEDIED, TO DISMISS THE CONVICTION AGAINST MICHAEL A. VODEN WITH PREJUDICE. STATE V. MINNITT, 203 ARIZ. 431, 437-440 (2002).